

Hybrid Meeting from Green River College and Virtual via ZOOM

Members Attending across all 3 meetings:

Jessica Murphy, Owner - Cities (<i>Chair</i>)	Dave Johnson, General Contractors (<i>Vice Chair</i>)
Alexis Blue, Owner Higher Education	Ron Paananen, Design Industry Engineers
Garett Buckingham, Owner Public Hospitals	Catina Patton, Minority/Women-Owned Business
Lisa Corcoran, Owner-General Public	Mike, Pellitteri, Specialty Subcontractors
Marvin Doster, General Contractor	Traci Rogstad, Owner Higher Education
Jim Dugan, Construction Managers	Vicky Schiantarelli, Disadvantaged Businesses
Thomas Golden, Design Industry Architects	Mike Shinn, Specialty Subcontractors
Jeff Gonzalez, Owner State	Young Sang Song, Disadvantaged Businesses
Gina Hortillosa, Construction Managers	Kevin Thomas, Construction Trades Labor
Jeff Jurgensen, Construction Managers	Lance Thomas, Specialty Subcontractors
Karl Kolb, Design Industry Engineers	Tim Thomas, General Contractors
Art McCluskey, Owner General Public	Kyle Twohig, Owner – Counties
Bret Miche, General Contractors	Anthony Udeagbala, Minority/Women-Owned Business
Heather Munden, Owner Ports	Taine Wilton, Owner School Districts
Jeannie Natta, Owner Higher Education	

September 26, 2024 Hybrid Meeting from Green River College – Meeting #1

8:00 am AUBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT – PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
– Multi-Facility Improvements Project

Panel Chair: Jeff Jurgensen

Panel: Alexis Blue, Marvin Doster, Jessica Murphy, Ron Paananen, Mike Shinn, Young Song, Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$63M
- Make improvement to 16 schools and six school district support facilities. These improvements encompass upgrades to school safety and security, energy conservation, upgrades to critical building systems and replacement of aging building equipment and components.
- Preconstruction funding is provided by the district’s capital projects fund, and construction will be funded via bond proposed in November 2024.
- The public body has a strong experienced team.
- Project meets RCW requirements for Design-Build.

Public Comment:

Morris Alridge, *Executive Director of Planning and Construction with Tacoma Public Schools*. He supports Mr. Gross and his program. He feels Mr. Gross may be the best capital programs person in the State of Washington. The team that he has assembled is the team that Tacoma Public Schools has used for the past 8 years to build their own program. He feels this project is in great hands and feels the bundling for this project is the best way to be successful.

Deliberation:

The panel feels this is the right team for this project, they had a good presentation that covered most of the potential questions, and the panel would have liked to see DBE goals.

Conclusion:

Marvin Doster made a motion to approve this application, and Taine Wilton seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

9:00 am AUBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT – GC/CM w/EC/CM & MC/CM
– Three-School GC/CM Program Project

Panel Chair: Jeff Gonzalez

Panel: Alexis Blue, Dave Johnson, Jeff Jurgensen, Ron Paananen, Catina Patton, Mike Shinn, Young Song

- Project Cost: \$431.1M
- Demolish the existing Alpac Elementary School and rebuild a new school at the current school site while students and staff attend school at an interim location. Build a new Cascade Middle School at an undeveloped site located one mile

north of the existing school, move students and staff to the new school, then utilize the existing school as an Auburn School District support facility. Finally, they will build a new Middle School No. 5 at an undeveloped site.

- Preconstruction funding is provided by the district's capital projects fund, and construction will be funded via bond proposed in November 2024.
- The public body has a strong experienced team with successful project history.
- Project meets RCW requirements for GC/CM.
- Has approval to use alternative subcontractor section for EC/CM and MC/CM.

Public Comment:

Curt Gimmestad, with *Absher Construction*. Absher was involved as a GC/CM in 4 of the projects referred to in the presentation. Everything Jeff spoke to added to the success of all 4 of those particular projects. Mr. Gimmestad also wanted to add to the public comment made by Morris Aldridge in the last presentation. Jeff and his capital facilities team are dialed in and know the expectations going into these projects, and their team will be very successful working with the GC/CM when they're picked. Absher is in full support of this project.

Lance Thomas, with *Delta Technology Corp*. While he is a member of the PRC, he's attending as a member of the public. He's a member of the community Auburn school district serves. His business is located in Auburn, many of their employees have children attending school in Auburn, and even his wife graduated from Auburn High School. So, he's very familiar with Auburn. His business has worked on 17 elementary schools in the last 5 years, and 7 middle schools in the Pacific Northwest. These schools include the Willow Crest, and Lee Hill elementary schools in Auburn, and the Olympic Middle School all of which have been completed in the last 5 years. They do a great job and Parametrix is great to work with. His concern is that as these projects get bundled, the contracts become so large that it eliminates many of the small and medium businesses. For example, if you were to receive a bond for the district's elementary schools at \$9-\$10M mechanical, and then you bundle those together. The bonding company is going to say, sure we'll do 5 elementary schools, but we're not going to loan to you the \$30-\$40 million dollars for the whole project. So, he recommends the PRC consider looking at how school districts are bundling, and how that affects small and medium size contractors, as well as the impact on the small and diverse businesses in the area. So, if the practice is to split the project into individual projects bid out on the mechanical and electrical side, he would support and look forward to that.

Deliberation:

The applicant could expand their outreach efforts. No other discussion was brought forward.

Conclusion:

Jeff Jurgenson made the motion to approve the application and the use of EC/CM and MC/CM. Mike Shinn seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

10:00 am LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT – GC/CM
– Certification

Review Chair: Dave Johnson

Panel: Full Committee called; there were 25 members in attendance, 5 members absent and 3 recused.

- The Lake Stevens School District was established in 1955, has 11 K-12 schools and 9,738 students.
- Has completed one GC/CM project and have primarily Design-Bid-Build public works project experience.
- The District formed a Facilities Needs Advisory Committee (FNAC) to review the needs of the district and put together a list of priority projects as a recommendation to the Board.
- The School District has four future projects they would like to use GC/CM for, providing their bond is approved in November 2024, and 5 more that are still under consideration.
- They have on in house staff with GC/CM training and have contracted with experienced consultants for GC/CM guidance for new projects.
- They have had no audit finding in the last 5 years.
- The District plans to work to increase opportunities and participation by minority-owned, women-owned, and veteran-owned business as well as small and local businesses in the areas of public work contracting, subcontracting, and consulting.

- Lessons Learned (aka Values Reinforced):
 - Having the GC/CM as an early partner holds great value.
 - Having the ability to make informed decisions in real-time made the project a great success.
 - This was not a D-B-B project – Trust.
 - The power of Collaboration
 - The importance of Communication, and, communicating
 - “Teamwork makes the dream work.”
 - Leveraging the contractor’s experience to make Planning more effective.
 - True Partnering in problem solving.
 - We’re smarter together – Creativity.

Public Comment:

Sam Comer with *Cornerstone General Contractors*. Cornerstone has had the opportunity to collaborate with many school districts on GC/CM projects and are confident that Lake Stevens is fully prepared for the responsibility and demands that come with agency certification. During their interactions with Rob and his team during the complex high school project that Rob talked about in his presentation, they observed the School District’s commitment and ability to deliver value through the use of this delivery method. Their approach consistently fostered collaboration between their team, the design team and Cornerstone as a GC/CM to ultimately ensure that successful project outcome through that partnership focused mindset. Rob and his team have surrounded themselves with great partners like Jim Dugan with Parametrix and Nicole Brown. Based on Cornerstone’s experience and observations, they are confident that Lake Stevens will be able to successfully deliver future projects, using the GC/CM delivery method. For those reasons they strongly recommend approval for agency certification.

Tim Jewett with *Dykeman Architects*. Dykeman believes that Lake Stevens school district has demonstrated that they can use the alternative delivery method to the benefit of their constituents and would recommend approval of this application for certification.

Mike Armstrong, *structural project manager with Coughlin Porter Lundeen*. They are in full support and endorsement for the Lake Stevens School district in regard to the appropriateness and value of their use for the GC/CM delivery method. He’s worked with the district for over a decade on multiple projects from design to studies and other miscellaneous projects. He was the project manager on the successful Lake Stevens High school using GC/CM delivery which was a complicated phasing project that leveraged the advantages and benefits of the GC/CM process to adjust the design multiple times until the district and team were able to get the most out of the available funds. As Rob said it's a high priority for the school district to use those funds to the best of their ability, and this process enabled them to do that at full confidence. The district would similarly utilize this process to get the most out of future projects and he would recommend them for certification.

Karen Mooseker *Executive Director of Support Services with the Mukilteo School District and the School District representative on CPARB*. She shared her support for Rob Stanton and the Lake Stevens School District for receiving agency Certification approval for GC/CM. She’s known Rob for many years and is familiar with the Lake Stevens High School project that was referenced in the presentation. It is one of the most complex projects she’s heard of in quite some time. Rob has successfully led the district and his team, and she has every confidence that he will continue to do so with GC/CM Certification.

Doug Nichols *is a project manager serving public school districts*. He has spent the last 25 years providing facility, planning, and project management services for Washington State public school districts and has worked with Lake Stevens and Rob for almost 20 of those 25 years. During that time, he provided project management related services focusing on financial and OSPI funding areas. Those years gave him a good vantage point from which to view the district's capital projects management capabilities, and that the district has a proven track record of effectively managing significant capital projects for several decades. and as the district has discussed today, demonstrated its ability to manage a large, complex high school GC/CM project. During that project it became apparent the district has substantial internal management infrastructure to support the execution of GC/CM projects. He believes PRC approval of agency certification would provide the district with important tools to effectively manage multiple significant projects in its upcoming bond campaign, which could total between \$300 - \$400 million dollars.

Andy Rasmussen with *Fora Landscape Architects*. He's worked on numerous projects with the school district, and Rob Stanton. He would highly recommend they be considered for GC/CM Certification. He's familiar with many of the new projects that are on the bond, and a lot of those are similarly complex to Lake Stevens High School in terms of schedule and occupied site campus issues. There's a lot of complexity in some of those projects that he feels GC/CM would be ideal for. They recommend consideration for Certification approval.

Camie Anderson President with *Shockey Planning Group*. She has personally worked with the Lake Stevens School District as their permitting and SEPA compliance consultant since their Cavalero High School project. Since then, they've assisted with over 70 projects with the district ranging from capital facilities plans to looking at sites for possible property acquisition to new schools, such as Stevens Creek Elementary School, and the early learning center as well as the multi-phase Lake Stevens High School project. The district understands the GC/CM project requirements and how to use this alternative delivery method to ensure that a project is successful and meets the commitment to their voters. The district has a long track record of meeting the commitments to their voters and of spending the community tax dollars responsibly. The projects she has worked on with them have all been well managed and organized, and she offers her support for their agency certification.

Alan Jacobson with *Jacobson Consulting Engineers*. He has personally worked with Lake Stevens School District for about 10 years, and over that time there's been a wide variety of projects the district has undertaken from both GC/CM to low bid. He feels they have a good amount of experience in all avenues, especially with the High School GC/CM Project. He feels that project encompassed what would account to be several GC/CM projects under one with just the amount of experience and things they had to deal with. He frequently hears discussions from the district staff about what is the best choice and what has the most value for the district. He feels very comfortable they would make great decisions on behalf of their voters and local taxpayers, should they be granted agency certification.

Deliberation:

The district only has one staff with one project's worth of knowledge on using GC/CM, and while they can hire consultants as they need them, the Committee would prefer the owner have more staff with GC/CM experience and more than one project under their belt before taking the responsibility of agency certification. There isn't any depth of experience to guarantee they would be successful long-term if they were to lose the one person with some experience. The committee was split on whether or not granting Certification would be in the best interest of the district.

Conclusion:

Traci Rogstad made a motion to approve the application, and Mike Shinn seconded the motion. This motion was not approved. (Motions must have a majority vote of 17 to pass.)

Certification Denied; 9/25 members voted for approval with 16/25 members voted against certification feeling this school district is not ready for Certification at this time.

11:00 am BUSINESS MEETING

Chair/Vice Chair: Jessica Murphy & Dave Johnson

A Committee quorum of 25 members were in attendance in person and virtually with 8 absences.

- Meeting Dates for 2025
 - Talia Baker shared the typical PRC meeting schedule for the last 8 years has been to be the 4th Thursday of every other month starting in January. In 2018 the Committee added a June meeting to help alleviate the pressure between the large number of applications between May and July. Over the last several years the large number of applications has shifted to the September and January meetings.
 - Vice Chair Johnson explained that the June meeting was a stopgap which hasn't been necessary over the last 2 years. Chair Murphy suggested not having a June meeting and asked the committee for options.
 - Mike Pellitteri suggested monthly meetings from January through May and then September through December, with a limit on the number of applications received and the next meeting being the overflow when the number of applications has been reached.
 - Talia explained that by statute, the PRC is required to review an application within 60-days, or it is automatically approved (*with the exclusion of Recertifications which are required to be submitted 90-days prior to expiration*). Because of this, there is an application window where applications are only accepted during the 15-20th of the month prior to a scheduled meeting. This allows for time to publish public notices and ensure the application is reviewed within that 60-day period.

- Several members expressed interest in the possibility of scheduling an October meeting and possibly a February meeting as well.
- Vice Chair Johnson shared that CPARB asked the PRC to review their schedule to better accommodate the application trends. He expressed his interest in an additional meeting in the fall.
- Young Sang Song shared that when he was a new member, the Business Meetings were an opportunity to connect with the greater group, and he would like to maintain that opportunity as much as makes sense to do so.
- Chair Murphy suggested adding the extra meeting in October instead of June. Mike Shinn made a motion to add February 27th instead of June. Traci Rogstad seconded the motion. Marvin Doster expressed concern about when applications are submitted vs. the 60-day window. Talia shared that she connects directly with the applicants when they inquire on submission dates and is very careful about the 60-day window. Chair Murphy also noted that a special meeting can be scheduled if there is a need to do so. The motion was passed unanimously.
- CPARB Updates
 - Chair Murphy shared that the CPARB Board Development Committee is working on the development of a Strategic Plan which includes the PRC. She recommends anyone interested to sit in on the Board Development Committee meetings.
 - Vice Chair Johnson and Jeff Gonzalez shared the post incident process with CPARB and will be sharing adjustments to that process with CPARB on October 10th. Jeff Gonzalez shared that the ‘problem reporting’ issue around best practices could possibly be incorporated into the CPARB Strategic Plan and allow the Project Feedback Process Workgroup to disband, so he and Vice Chair Johnson will be looking into that option.
- CPARB’s Project Feedback Process Workgroup:
 - Talia will work with Vice Chair Johnson and Chair Murphy to incorporate the revised questions into the applications for PRC approval by the next meeting.
 - After the issue reporting process is approved by CPARB, there will need to be updates to the Bylaws to incorporate that process into the way the PRC conducts business.
- A couple of years ago one of the MWBE representatives was tasked with revising the MWBE/DBE question on the application. While some minor changes were incorporated, that task was never completed.
 - Chair Murphy asked for a volunteer to review that question and propose changes to improve on it.
 - Lisa Corcoran shared that DEI issues take up a lot of time during Q&A, and she would like to have that question reviewed to see if it can be improved upon.
 - Marvin Doster and Jeannie Natta also expressed concern.
 - Jeannie, Marvin, Lisa, Catina, Jeff Jurgensen and Young were asked to meet and discuss a possible revision. Jeff Jurgensen suggested considering incorporating an element of Owner Readiness into those questions as well.
- Mike Pellitteri expressed concern about the bundling of several large projects into one GC/CM project as a future topic.

12:00 pm LUNCH BREAK

12:30 pm MARYSVILLE FIRE DISTRICT – GC/CM (no ASSP)
– Fire Station 63 Replacement Project

Panel Chair: Jim Dugan

Panel: Lisa Corcoran, Thomas Golden, Jeff Gonzalez, Dave Johnson, Mike Pellitteri, Traci Rogstad, and Young Song

- Project Cost: \$10.5M
- Original building built in 1950s, is too small and has surpassed its useful life. Project will replace the existing station and will house up to 8 fire fighters and 3 or 4 drive-through bays. Scope includes design and construction of the building and any required site development and offsite frontage improvements.
- The Marysville Fire District has funds available for this project.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for GC/CM.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

There was some concern expressed about large GC/CM project bundles posing possible barriers for small contractors. Overall, the majority of the panel was in agreement the project qualifies for use of GC/CM.

Conclusion:

Young Sang Song made a motion to approve this application, and Lisa Corcoran seconded the motion. Motion approved. Approval 7/8; Mike Pellitteri voted against

1:30 pm KING CO. DEPT. OF NAT RES & PARKS WASTEWATER TREATMENT DIV. – PROG. DESIGN-BUILD
- South Interceptor Rehabilitation Project

Panel Chair: Thomas Golden

Panel: Alexis Blue, Lisa Corcoran, Marvin Doster, Young Song, Lance Thomas, Kyle Twohig, and Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$36.5M
- Repair over 1,600 linear feet of severely deteriorated 72-inch, 78-inch, and 90-inch diameter reinforced concrete sewer pipe and rehabilitate up to seven maintenance holes along the south interceptor. Will require high-capacity sewer diversion pumping through temporary piping and odor control at each discharge location.
- Funding has been approved and appropriated for this project by the King County Council. KCWTD may consider applying for Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) funding, however availability of that potential funding source does not impact KCWTD's ability to proceed with awarding and executing the Contract for this project and constructing planned improvements.
- The project team is qualified and has a history of successful alternative project delivery.
- Project meets RCW criteria for Design-Build.

Public Comment: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

The panel felt this was a great project for Design-Build. They commend the county for putting an excellent team together and using experienced staff to train new staff. The Owner made a great choice of operations team for such a complex project which will be key to this project's success. King County is one of the few counties who have conducted a disparities study and maintain the statistics on it.

Conclusion:

Kyle Twohig made a motion to approve this application, and Lance Thomas seconded the motion. Unanimous Approval 8/8

2:30 pm MERCER ISLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT - PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
- Mary Wayte Pool Upgrades Project

Panel Chair: Young Sang Song

Panel: Lisa Corcoran, Jim Dugan, Jeff Gonzalez, Dave Johnson, Ron Paananen, Catina Patton, and Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$4M
- Goal is to extend the useful life of the 52-year-old pool by 10+ years while maintaining uninterrupted school and community use. Scope includes installation, repair or replacement of specialized elements, including the fiberglass pool liner, fire alarm, and sprinkler systems, electrical system improvements and accessibility upgrades.
- Funding is secured \$4 million for this project: \$2M. from the District's Capital/Technology Levy that passed in 2022, and \$2M. from a King County Parks Aquatic grant.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for Design-Build.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment:

Matt Felmeyer *District Executive Director of Capital Planning and Construction with Renton School District.* Renton School District has completed 2 pool modernization type projects over the last decade. These projects were some of the most difficult projects that they have done in the district. Both were done with traditional Design-Bid-Build delivery. Representatives from Mercer Island School District reached out to them, knowing they had completed those projects, to ask about the logistics and the hurdles they had run into. Renton described some of the challenges and similarities between the projects such as King County funding, a tight schedule, pool liner replacement, electrical system updates, and exterior envelope issues. They specifically indicated if they were to run into a project like this again, they would seek

alternate project delivery and have a contractor partner on board. Mercer Island asked a lot of really good questions about Renton's process towards success. Renton supports the direction for Mercer Island's project and appreciated them reaching out to learn their history and lessons learned.

Melissa Pendleton with *McKinstry*. She wanted to bring up a few things about this project. First, McKinstry did a progressive Design-Build with energy guarantees under the ESCO model at this pool with Mercer Island. As a forward thrust pool, the age of those systems is old and in a caustic environment. This project is perfect for progressive Design-Build, because often you don't know what you don't know until you get into the walls and get into the actual systems. Having that partnership between design, construction and the district is what made the project successful. Working with the district, they found Mercer Island to be extremely detailed in helping guard the district against risk making sure the work was on schedule, on budget, and that there's appropriate risk mitigation and protected the schedule. The teams collaborated on systems improvements like mechanical that saves energy, and as things came up it was imperative they all worked together towards success. The district is extremely detailed with risk, cost, and schedule mitigation, and works as a great partner throughout the process.

Deliberation:

Indoor pools are essentially chemistry labs, so prioritization of the elements of the project and communications will be key to success. They have a great team and appropriate delivery method for a successful project. There was a recommendation to check out the code requirements to help with prioritization on the scope of the project. The school district reached out to other owners for advice, so there's confidence the owner has a strong support system to help guide them toward success.

Conclusion:

Jeff Gonzalez made a motion to approve this application and Jim Dugan seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

3:30 pm BREMERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT - GC/CM (No ASSP)
- District-Wide HVAC Upgrades Project

Panel Chair: Marvin Doster

Panel: Jim Dugan, Thomas Golden, Karl Kolb, Jeannie Natta, Catina Patton, Kyle Twohig, Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$12.2M
- District-wide upgrades to mechanical systems of 6 schools.
- Funding secured via capital bond passed on February 13, 2024.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for GC/CM.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

Great presentation, this project team has experience and partners well, well put together application, they have an aggressive schedule with a plan and confidence they will be successful. GC/CM is the appropriate delivery method for this project which would be nearly impossible to do any other way.

Conclusion:

Jim Dugan made a motion to approve this application, and Karl Kolb seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

4:10 pm ADJOURN

Total Project Approvals for Meeting #1:

- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| • 3 Design-Build projects totaling | \$103,522,105 |
| • 3 GC/CM projects totaling | <u>\$453,781,000</u> |
| • Alternative Subcontractor Selection Applications Reviewed: <u>2</u> | |
| Total approvals for Meeting 1: | \$557,303,105 |

September 26, 2024

Virtual Only – Meeting #2

8:00 am SPOKANE REGIONAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS - PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
- *New Public Safety Communications Center Project*

Panel: Garrett Buckingham, Gina Hortillosa, Heather Munden, Jeannie Natta, Mike Pellitteri, Vicky Schiantarelli, and Kevin Thomas,

- Project Cost: \$30.8M
- Building Renovation of an existing 44,000 sq. ft. building located in Spokane Valley which was built in 2015 that is well-suited for renovation.
- SREC currently has \$22.5M in Capital Project Reserves specifically committed for this facility. Once Validation is complete, SREC will seek additional funds from the Spokane County.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for Design-Build.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment:

Nick Gonzales *general contractor with Bouten Construction*. Some of the things they look for on projects that are going for progressive Design-Build approval were in this project. Such as the critical nature of the building and the systems that go into it as well as the project being a renovation of an existing building. Issues such as trying to figure out the best way and the best value to get some of those structural requirements done, they need a progressive Design-Build team on board and take the opportunity to bring in trade partners so they can all work together to figure out the details. The other thing they look for is how much experience the team has in doing progressive Design-Build. They have assembled a well experienced team with Turner Townsend, and Chief Soto. They would like to voice our support for this project's approval of the progressive Design-Build method.

Brad Hayes *with Sellen Construction Co., Inc.* He shared his compliments to Nick Gonzalez for his comments. Mr. Hayes wanted to be the advocate from a general contractors' perspective about the progressive design build process. Sellen strives to provide the best value for their clients and the project, which allows for the opportunity to bringing on critical partners to the process during the design phase and not after the design is complete. This allows for the most certainty around the overall scope of the project, the schedule meeting the budget criteria and delivering the project in a much faster timeline. All of these items noted, provide a better-quality project for the design, the permitting, the construction, and allows for much fewer change orders, faster moving schedule compared to the traditional process. He wants to advocate for the Progressive Design-Build process. He's been at Sellen for 35 years, has done a lot of projects and feels this is the most efficient process they can get to. He also compliments the team for considering progressive Design-Build.

Rob Decker *with Garco Construction and Spokane resident*. From a resident perspective in Spokane, he wants the best emergency communications facility out there, in case his family or friends need to call 9-1-1. He's seen great results with local design builders in the area in terms of meeting the criteria of RCW 39.10. He feels this project meets at least 2 of those criteria and wants to support the project.

Adam (1206****910) *with SHB Architects*. He wanted to advocate for this project. He is currently working on a progressive Design-Build that was approved by the PRC on a very similar project; a renovation of an existing building for a 9-1-1 emergency communication center. Having gone through that process, he would agree that progressive Design-Build is the right delivery method for a 9-1-1 emergency communications center with all the complexities that have been described to date, including long lead items, and the coordination of critical infrastructure.

Deliberation:

This project is perfect for progressive Design-Build, the presentation was well put together and have a great team. The emphasis on why the project meets the criteria was greatly appreciated by the panel.

Conclusion:

Mike Pellitteri made a motion to approve this application, and Kevin Thomas seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 7/7

9:00 am SPOKANE COUNTY - PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
– *Crisis Relief and Sobering Center Project*

Panel: Garrett Buckingham, Gina Hortillosa, Heather Munden, Jessica Murphy, Mike Pellitteri, Traci Rogstad, Vicky Schiantarelli, and Kevin Thomas

- Project Cost: \$18M
- Either renovate or build a 20,000 sq ft facility that will include private clinical spaces, secure storage for medications and personal belongings, sobering rooms with up to 24 certified recliners, and restroom and shower facilities. Will also include staff observations stations, rooms for triage or treatment and systems to monitor patient movements without restricting their ability to leave. Facility will provide 24/7 mental health and substance use care.
- Funding is secured with Opioid Settlement Funds and the remaining funding is available from Spokane County Mental Health sales tax revenue.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for Design-Build.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment:

Eric Peterson with Graham Construction & Management. He wanted to voice his support this application. Based his experience with progressive Design-Build, this is the most cost-effective way to deliver this project. He hopes the panel takes that into consideration.

Travis Bradford with Swinerton Builders in Spokane, Washington. They have been keeping an eye on this project for a couple of months when they noted the quality of the ownership team and the owner's rep. This project is tailor-made for the Design-Build process, and they are excited to pursue it with our partners over at BCRA.

Rob Decker *Garco Construction Inc.* The community here in Spokane, really needs this facility in this location, and the community wants the best possible result with public dollars. He believes this is the best delivery method for the success of this project. The project meets the criteria in the statute. He has worked with Spokane County and Hill International on other progressive Design-Build projects. He is confident they will have a successful project. Garco is fully in support of the project.

Deliberation:

One panelist felt the project was small enough and straightforward enough to go DBB. The schedule posed a couple of questions. The project team has staff and consultants with experience with the delivery method, and the project does meet the requirements for Design-Build. The panel offered a recommendation to keep a close eye on the funding because with a small-ish budget, the money can disappear quickly.

Conclusion:

Kevin Thomas motioned to approve this application and seconded by Traci Rogstad.

Approval 7/8; Mike Pellitteri voted against

12:30 pm CITY OF WASHOUGAL – PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
– *32nd Street Underpass Project*

Panel: Gina Hortillosa, Karl Kolb, Art McCluskey, Bret Miche, Heather Munden, Jessica Murphy, Mike Shinn, and Anthony Udeagbala

- Project Cost: \$69-80M
- Installation of rail undercrossing with 2 roundabouts, intersection improvements, and sidewalks and multi-use paths for pedestrians and bicyclists.
- Funding is partially funded via federal and state grants. The city is seeking additional grant funding and exploring other options including financing.
- They have the right team with experience.
- Project meets the RCW requirements for Design-Build.

Public Comment: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

Having a railroad lead is important for collaboration. The team is supplemented well and will need to make sure they reach out to other owners for support as well.

Meeting Notes by Talia Baker

Conclusion:

Mike Shinn motioned to approve this application and seconded by Karl Kolb.
Unanimous Approval 8/8

1:30 pm ENERGY NORTHWEST – PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
- Small Modular Reactor Project

Panel: Jeff Gonzalez, Jeff Jurgensen, Art McCluskey, Bret Miche, Ron Paananen, Mike Pellitteri, Traci Rogstad, and Anthony Udeagbala

- Project Cost: \$4.3B
- Establish 4 Xenergy-100 advance small modular nuclear reactor units with the potential for up to 12 units in Eastern Washington.
- Funding is partially secured and anticipates \$3.85B in future funding from the US Dept. of Energy, with the remaining obtained through private investments currently under negotiation. Owner will not proceed with any portion of the project that is not fully funded.
- They have the right team with experience for success.
- Project meets the RCW requirements for Design-Build.

Public Comment:

Brian Aske DBIA Northwest. He has spent a lot of time volunteering with both Robin Thaxton and Jeff Neumeyer on the National Progressive Design-Build Education Committee. It's hard for him to imagine people that are more qualified to help set up a progressive Design-Build project for success. He also knows Becky through the College of Fellows. He encourages support for this project, as he thinks it has good advisors who will help navigate the complexities of doing a project like this and leveraging the opportunities available through progressive Design-Build.

Dave McCormack, CEO, Clean Energy Supplier Alliance. They are a Washington-based nonprofit association with 40 business and labor members ranging from small local businesses in the Tri-Cities to large global corporations with a very significant Washington presence. Energy Northwest is one of our key members, and they are currently actively working to establish a new, large-scale, advanced manufacturing capability in the State to accelerate the deployment of next generation nuclear power regionally, nationally, and globally. The Energy Northwest SMR Project is key to the provision of adequate power in our region and is a significant contributor to the attraction of a diverse, advanced manufacturing industry and workforce to the region. We strongly encourage the State to support this project.

Andrew Bielat, Executive Director- VERTical Innovation Cluster. They are a Washington-based nonprofit focused on accelerating power. We've got a hundred 40 cohort members and Energy Northwest is represented on our board. Energy Northwest is a major player in the super-user market that drives the demand that we're trying to convene. The mission of VERTical is to convene the ready-to-grow super-users with the ready-to-grow nuclear services and equipment providers. The SMR project is a bold signal to the industry that our region is viable and leading the way in next generation nuclear deployment. Our diverse cohorts strongly encourage the State to support this project.

Diahann Howard, Executive Director with the Port of Benton. They are located just to the south of this project. The Port of Benton provides industrial and economic facilities for the region. They are also the administrator of the VERTical cluster supporting the State's Innovation Cluster Accelerator Program that is focused on accelerating and advancing clean energy technologies with our focus being specific around advanced reactors and its related supply chain. Clean energy has been a vision of our community for many years, and the Energy Northwest Project is a key cornerstone to helping us fulfill this vision. We have industrial users today that desire to expand and locate in Richland and within the State of Washington, however reliable baseload power is needed. Therefore, we fully support this project and encourage the State's support of their request for approval.

Sean O'Brien, Executive Director - Energy Forward Alliance They are a fairly new locally led community organization called the Energy Forward Alliance. The Energy Forward Alliance is a nonprofit, clean energy subsidiary of the Tri-City Development Council based in Tri-Cities. This project is part of a critical clean energy effort to continue the Tri-Cities' role as the clean energy leader for the Pacific Northwest. They are in wholehearted support of this project and appreciate all the work that the presentation team shared and have put into the project. They also appreciate the Project Review Committee's time.

Joe Kershishnik with *United Association of Plumbers and Steam Fitters Local Union 598*. They represent over 1,400 members. Their local community was built around nuclear and nuclear energy. His members are in complete support of this project and hope the State will be as well. They feel this project is not only needed to create great paying jobs for the construction industry, but also for long sustainability jobs for the operations of these SMRs and the local communities that will greatly benefit from the clean and reliable energy that SMRs create.

Deliberation:

Great presentation and good use of Design-Build. The budget is laid out well, but the funding was a bit confusing. They have a good team the plan to thoroughly monitor each step along the way.

Conclusion:

Anthony Udeagbala made a motion to approve the application and seconded by Mike Pellitteri.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

2:30 pm CENTRAL VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT - PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
- District-Wide Secure Entry Vestibules Project

Panel: Marvin Doster, Gina Hortillosa, Art McCluskey, Traci Rogstad, Vicky Schiantarelli, Mike Shinn, Kyle Twohig, and Anthony Udeagbala

- Project Cost: \$6M
- Upgrade 10 schools' front entry vestibules to enhance safety, functionality, and aesthetic appeal while modernizing the existing entry systems.
- Funding secured via Capital Improvements Levy in February 2024.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for Design-Build.
- They have the right team with experience for success.

Public Comment:

Jake Closson with *Bouten Construction*. He emphasized the value and importance of keeping the kids and community safe. They value the fact that this project is looking at the progressive Design-Build delivery method, especially due to the complexity of working in existing facilities and grouping multiple projects together to create those efficiencies which can be done well through this delivery method. Additionally, they think there's a really good opportunity for OMWBE participation, and the fact that they can create scopes of work that are the right size for the OMWBE partners in this region.

Melissa McFadgen with *NAC Architecture*. She has personally had the chance to work with this district and with Jonathan. This district is one that does not shy away from a challenge, and they do not hesitate to take on issues such as storefront shortages and budget management. She would strongly encourage approval of this progressive Design-Build request simply because it is critical to providing safe and secure environments for the remainder of schools that were not accessed in the previous construction.

Travis Bradford with *Swinerton Builders*. Swinerton Builders supports this application and this delivery method. A couple of things come to mind when talking about schedule, and in particular, how the approach using Design-Build is beneficial over a GC/CM project is. Contractors can meet a GMP, but it's a lot tougher as a contractor to guarantee schedule. They can be punitive in how they write the bid packages, but it's going to be much better for everyone involved if they could go with the Design-Build method. Then regarding staffing, being in multiple locations all, at the same time, having the chance to analyze schedule and appropriately staff the project from a supervision standpoint is ideal. This is what they believe lends itself to the Design-Build process. Lastly, as a just a taxpayer who lives in the Central Valley School District, this project is something that's vitally important to the community, and they support it fully.

Deliberation:

There are a lot of painful lessons on a project like this and DB will lend itself to working through them as they come up. The methodology of having a consistent approach to all 10 pieces of this project will help the district to be successful. The CM portion and the interface will also be important and helpful with consistency.

Conclusion:

Traci Rogstad made a motion to approve this application and seconded by Gina Hortillosa.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

Total Project Approvals for Meeting #2:

- 5 Design-Build projects totaling \$4,439,211,470
- Total Approvals for Meeting 2: \$4,439,211,470**

September 27, 2024 Virtual Only - Meeting #3

8:00 am CITY OF EVERETT - PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
- Everett Outdoor Multipurpose Stadium Project

Panel: Thomas Golden, Art McCluskey, Traci Rogstad, Kevin Thomas, Tim Thomas, Kyle Twohig, and Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$137.9M
- Either renovation of current stadium that no longer meets the new facility standards required by Minor League Baseball or Construct a new 3,000 seat facility that can accommodate an additional 5,000 seating ability for concerts and community events.
- Project is partially funded via 2023 legislation to cover initial administrative costs, design, and preconstruction costs. A project financing plan is under development for approval by the City Council in early 2025.
- Project meets RCW requirements.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment:

Tim Jewell with *Dykeman Architects*. They are located in Everett. He wanted to weigh in to support the application. At Dykeman they've been involved in alternative delivery projects over the last 20 years and work with public entities. Helping to support over a billion dollars of public investment in communities. He feels the team they put together and the specific constraints of the project are ideally suited for progressive Design-Build, and they support this project.

Deliberation:

Inhouse experience not extensive.

Conclusion:

Kyle Twohig made a motion to approve this application, and Thomas Golden seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 7/7

9:00 am CHELAN COUNTY PUD - PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
- Rock Island & Rocky Reach Hydroelectric Dam Civil Mechanical Improvements Project

Panel: Lisa Corcoran, Jeff Jurgensen, Art McCluskey, Bret Miche, Jeannie Natta, Catina Patton, Mike Pellitteri, and Kevin Thomas

- Project Cost: \$177.1M
- Both facilities need renovation to their aging spillways systems, gates, multiple automated hoists, and seismic improvements. Additional significant concrete repairs and fish exclusion screens will be necessary to continue fish ladder operations.
- Both dams will be required to remain operational during the construction work.
- The district has allocated funds for the full amount of the scheduled project value in their cash reserve accounts.
- Project meets RCW requirements.
- The project team is qualified and has a history of successful alternative project delivery.

Public Comment:

Michael Hatcher with *EC Electric*. EC Electric as a construction team they believe this project will be successful. They are excited about this project, and the ingenuity opportunities it brings to Chelan County. Chelan County has a very sophisticated team. EC Electric supports the progressive Design-Build method for this project and encourages the PRC to approve it. They are excited to see what it will bring. They believe the success of this project will create a domino effect for modern improvements and ingenuity in this environment.

Deliberation:

Good presentation, clear and concise application, Team compliments each other.

Conclusion:

Mike Pellitteri made a motion to approve this application, and Lisa Corcoran seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

10:00 am CITY OF VANCOUVER – GC/CM (w/ EC & MC/CM)
- Vancouver Public Works Operations Campus Project

Panel: Lisa Corcoran, Marvin Doster, Thomas Golden, Heather Munden, Jeannie Natta, Catina Patton, Mike Shinn, and Kyle Twohig

- Project Cost: \$170M
- Starting with an undeveloped 32-acre plot, the city will start with site development including retaining wall systems, installation of utilities, stormwater system/facilities, landscaping, site lighting and extensive parking for staff, public and fleet vehicles. This new campus will need to house administrative offices, operations facilities and support facilities for City departments including fleet services, warehousing, emergency management, wastewater collection & treatment, and facilities maintenance.
- The City will fund the project with a combination of cash reserves and revenue bonds.
- Project meets RCW requirements.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.
- Alternative Subcontractor value for Mechanical/Plumbing \$7.3M and Electrical \$6.5M.

Public Comment: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

The project is well thought out, funding for these types of projects can be difficult. This is a good choice for the use of GC/CM. It will be important for the team to make sure they hire a GC/CM who they like working with, because with a long-term project trust can be a big issue. There was appreciation on the approach to diverse business engagement outlining the experience of the entire project team and not just the contractor. This project is well suited for GC/CM.

Conclusion:

Mike Shinn made a motion to approve this application and the use of EC/CM and MC/CM. Marvin Doster seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

11:00 am NORTH THURSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS – GC/CM (no ASSP)
- Young Child and Family Center Project

Panel: Thomas Golden, Dave Johnson, Jeff Jurgensen, Bret Miche, Jeannie Natta, Catina Patton, Traci Rogstad, and Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$66.4M
- Build a 45,000-sf building on a 15.8-acre site to serve approximately 600 half-time students ages 3-5 years old. Before construction, a main water line must be relocated from the middle of the site. Construction will include an early learning center with classrooms with integrated preschool needs, large outdoor playgrounds, administrative space, and specialty spaces, a central kitchen and a library and related site developments.
- Project funding is secured via levy funds as well as internal funding sources.
- Project meets all RCW requirements for GC/CM.
- Project team has been augmented with highly qualified consultants.

Public Comment:

Dan Cody with *Parametrix*. He is an alumnus from North Thurston School District and lived in the vicinity for 55 years before moving south towards Centralia. He is happy that the district is looking at alternative delivery methods. They've had several very difficult projects over the last decade or so that could have benefited from using GC/CM, but they made it through. Mr. Cody has been watching River Ridge because he has children who graduated in the district. As a parent, a taxpayer, and an alumnus, he's been watching the River Ridge project, which could have also benefited from GC/CM delivery. He's happy to see the district expanding their delivery method approach and would fully support this project if he was a voting member of the panel.

Brian Rich with *Forma Construction*. Brian is also an alumnus of North Thurston as the class of '96. He's been in the industry for 25 years and applauds North Thurston's move towards alternative contract delivery. For all the reasons that

have been stated whether that's inclusion, the complexity of the job, or a more collaborative team environment he supports this application for GC/CM.

Brianne Tomlin *capital projects manager with Renton School District*. She wanted to share that she's worked with several members of this team on occupied phase school projects and has no doubt they understand the complexity that goes into having kids on campus while doing construction. She supports their use of GC/CM to maximize value with that partner on these types of projects, knowing what they know.

Deliberation:

This is a great application for GC/CM with the complexities, occupied site, and phasing. They have an excellent team and dealing with the concepts of moving a watermain. The applicant had a very thoughtful application and a deliberate approach on how they are expanding their knowledge through the use of GC/CM.

Conclusion:

Traci Rogstad made a motion to approve this application, and Bret Miche seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

Total Project Approvals for Meeting 3:

• 2 Design-Build projects totaling	\$314,988,124
• 2 GC/CM projects totaling	\$236,403,950
• Alternative Subcontractor Selection Applications Reviewed: <u>2</u>	
Total for Meeting 3:	\$551,392,074

Total Project Approvals for September 2024:

• 10 Design-Build Projects	\$4,857,721,699
• 5 GC/CM Projects	<u>\$ 690,184,950</u>
Total for September:	\$5,547,906,649

Guests between all 3 meetings:

Aldridge, Morris; Tacoma School District	Martin, Albert; Skanska USA Building Inc.
Alfonso, Layne; GeoEngineers	Martin, Sean; Dept. of Enterprise Services
Anderson, Camie; Shockey Planning Group	Martindale, Amanda; Howard S. Wright
Anderson, Maggie; Parametrix	Marysville Fire Conference Room; Marysville Fire Dept.
Arbaugh, Dave	Mason, Danica
Ardourel, Alyssa; Huitt Zollars	Matiatos, Tony; North Thurston Public Schools
Armstrong, Mike; Coughlin Porter Lundeen (CPL)	Matkin, S.
Arreola, Josh	Matson, Liz Korb
Aske, Brian; DBIA NW	McCain, Kevin; AECOM Hunt
Baca, Joe; King County	McCarroll, Sunny; King County
Bachour, Marc; Bayley Construction	McCormack, Dave; CEO Clean Energy Supplier Alliance
Barber, Kevin; PM Dept. of Enterprise Services	McFadgen, Melissa; NAC Architecture
Bauccio, Teresa; Teschlog	Melson, Cathy; Absher Construction
Baumgarten, Ava; Abbott Construction	Merrill, Chad
Beaudine, David; Turner & Townsend Heery	Metcalf, Kelley
Bergmann, David	Meyer, Wade; Bayley Construction
Bernau, Ethan; SOJ	Milburn, Nicole
Biabak, Rebecca; Integrus	Miller, Jonathan; Turner & Townsend Heery
Bielat, Andrew; Exec Dir VERTical Innovation Cluster	Minder, John; Turner & Townsend Heery
Birtley, Ariel; APM Dept of Enterprise Services	Mingus, Scott
Blain, Robert; Benton County	Mjelde, Tyler; AECOM Hunk
Blanchard, Monica; WSP City of Washougal	Mooseker, Karen; Mukilteo School District
Blankenship, Becky; Hill International	Morison, Leslie
Boatman, Ed; Mott MacDonald	Moyle, Geraldine; City of Vancouver
Bonefant, Jeni; King Co Wastewater Treatment Division	Murie, Michelle; City of Vancouver

Guests between all 3 meetings:

Bowen, Brett; FORMA Construction	Musch, Ali; Dykeman Architects
Bower, Kellie; Kaiser Bower	Muther, Peter; DLR Group
Bowman, Chad	Naster, Katie; JMA Civil
Boyd, Kurt; Valley Electric	Navarro, Diane
Boydston, Ned	Nave, Marissa
Boydston, Nick	Neumayr, Geoffrey; PDBC LLC
Bradford, Travis; Swinerton Builders	Nichols, Doug
Brandl, Lisa	Nielssen, Eric
Brandon, Denise	Nilsson, Brian; Bayley Construction
Braun, Trevor; BNBuilders	Nolan, Matt
Breazile, Nate; Hill International	Novy, Elizabeth
Brown, Diana; OAC Services	O'Brien, Sean
Brown, Nicole; Lake Stevens School District	Okizaki, Carrie
Bullis, Gina; Exec Fin. Officer Central Valley Sch. Dist.	Olson, Jerrol; Dir. of Facilities Central Valley Sch. Dist.
Burke, Jack; Turner Construction	Olson, Maddie
Burke, Paige; Baylay Construction	Orchard, Marty
Busch, Walt; Civil & Structural Engineer	Orueta, Chris
Cate, Lisa; PCS Structural Solutions	Ottele, Marc; Granite Construction
Chan, Kristopher; SRG Cannon Design	Otto, Cassandra; Energy Northwest
Childs, Brandon	Palomaki, Stacey; Tapani, Inc.
Churchill, Paul; Abbott Construction	Palewicz, John
Clark, Jeff	Parsons, Garret; Mortenson
Closson, Jake; Bouten Construction	Pattison, Scott; City of Everett
Cody, Dan; Parametrix	Pease, Rachael; BNBuilders
Cole, Jeff; MFD	Pendleton, Melissa; McKinstry
Cole, Triton; Clark Construction Group	Peters, Edward; ALEP
Collins, Scott; City of Washougal	Peterson, Eric; Graham Construction
Comegys, Rebecca; Spokane County	Phelps, Kim; ALSC Architects
Comer, Sam; Cornerstone GCI	Pleskac, Aaron; SRG & Cannon Design
Conway, Susan; Bassetti Architects	Pluckhahn, Lon; DCM
Cook, Jeff; Hoffman Construction	Potter, Stewart; Baylay Construction
Cook, Justin; DCI Engineers	Preftes, Laura; King County
Cook, Randy; TCF Architecture	Qazi, Salem; Chelan County PUD
Cunningham, Natalie	Rasmussen, Andy; Landscape Architects
Curtin, Jessica; Abbott Construction	Redlinger, Craig; City of Vancouver
Deakins, Nancy, DES	Redinger, Randy; Tapani Inc.
Dean, Mara; BCRA	Reed, Colin; Clark Construction
Decker, Rob; Garco Construction Inc.	Reed, Travis; Howard S. Wright
DeDonato, Julie; SOJ	Reese, Ryan; Swinerton Builders
Deemer, Jeremy	Rich, Brian; FORMA Construction
Denton, Julie	Riedel, Philip; NAC Architecture
Dickson, Joey	Robertson, Nick
Dilly, Sam; Chelan County	Roe, Gareth; BCRA
Dinh, Anna; Lydig Construction	Rose, Tim; WSP City of Washougal
Dore, Irma; Dir. Business Dev. Bayley Construction	Rosendahl, Sam; Greene Gasaway
Dotson, Sean Dr.; North Thurston Public Schools	Roth, Trisha; King County
Duffany, Adalia; Skanska USA	Rowell, Jay; Central Valley School District
Dugan, Jim; Parametrix / PRC Member	Rue, Tyler
Dycus, Tiffany	Rundquist, Brad; Hensel Phelps
Eernisse, Dan; City of Everett	Sagerser, John

Guests between all 3 meetings:

English, Chris; Bouten Construction	Sarkinen, Jerome; Tapani Inc.
Erickson, Logan; Swinerton Builders	Schact, Walter; Consultant
Etue, Kelly; Lydig Construction	Schut, Paul; Energy Northwest
Feldmeyer, Matt; Renton School District	Sellgren, Kayleah; FORMA Construction
Fisher, John (+2 conf rm); Bremerton School District	Shakespeare, Jeremiah
Flanagan, Kevin; NAC Architecture	Sherman, Trish; Dykeman Architects
Ford, Jason Michel	Simmons, Scott; CEO Spokane County
Fowler, Ann; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.	Singer, Jean; City of Vancouver
Fox, Brandy; CPM Seattle-Mercer Island School District	Sinha, Gyan; STN
Franklin, Cassie; Mayor of the City of Everett	Skervan, Trish; Dykeman Architects
Franklin, Kristina, Absher Construction	Skoglum, Amy
Franz, Ben; SOJ	Smith, Shelby
Frost, Jim; Kleinfelder	Soto Jr., Frank; Spokane Valley Fire Department
Gasaway, Calvin	Sparano, Regina; King County
Gelhausen, Wade; DCI Engineers	Sparber, Michael; Spokane County
Gimmestad, Curt; Absher Construction	Stamon, Kris; McGranahan Architects
Gizzi, Chris; APM Dept. of Enterprise Services	Stanton, Robb; Lake Stevens School District
Gonzalez, Nick; General Contractor Bouten Construction	Stanton, Robert; Tapani Inc.
Gould, Eric	Staub, Sarah
Greybeal, Tim	Storaasli, Denise; AHBL
Grinsel, Karin; Baylay Construction	Strangl, Justin
Grouse, Jeffrey; Auburn School District	Sullivan, Matt
Guerrero, Nancy	Tackett, Bethany; Forma Construction
Hall, Casey; Chelan PUD	Taylor, Adrienne
Ham, Zach	Taylor, Jayme; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.
Hansen, Hans; Baylay Construction	Taylor, Lisa; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.
Hansen, Jessica; Energy Northwest	Teichmann, Meghan; Architects West
Hansen, Richard	Templeton, Dr. Mary; Super. Lake Stevens School Dist.
Hanton, Robert; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.	Thach, Monty
Harding, Paul; Granite Construction	Thaxton, Robynne; Thaxton Parkinson, LLC
Hatch, Erin; Rice Fergus Miller	Thomas, Josh; Brown Caldwell
Hatcher, Michael; EC Electric	Thomas, Lance; Delta Technology Corp. (PRC\Public)
Hayes, Brad; Sellen Construction Co., Inc.	Thomas, Marie; Energy Northwest
Hendershot, Nick; Tapani, Inc	Thompson, Andrew; Granite Construction
Henderson, Shelly	Tierrablanca, Stephanie; King County
Herkenrath, G	Todhunter, Matthew; Baylay Construction
Hill, Jason; X-energy	Tomlin, Brianne; Renton School District
Hill, Michelle; Dept. Enterprise Services	Tomlinson, Zak; Legal Council
Hill, Tegan; Bassetti Architects	Trejo, Ruby
Hong, Barbara; Dept. Enterprise Services	Urban, Brian; Skanska USA Building Inc.
Horton, Michael	Van Aalsburg, Jason
Howard, Diahan; Admin Port of Benton & VERTICAL ICAP	VanderPol, Ned
Huber, Dan	Vandervate, John
Jain, Indra; Dept. Enterprise Services	Vaughn, Jill
Jensen, Philip; Atkins Realis	Vincent, Bob; Hoffman Construction
Jewett, Tim; Dykeman Architects	Vogel, Anna; City of Vancouver
Johnson, Justin; Spokane County	Volpe, Chad; Owner, Everett AquaSox
Johnson, Jylea	Wakasugi-Don, Tess
Jonathan Miller	Wall, Becky; Rice Fergus Miller Architects
Jones, Wyatt	Wallace, Graehm; Perkins Coie

Guests between all 3 meetings:

Jordan, Melissa; King County	Weber, Patrick; Brown & Caldwell
Julius, John; Bayley Construction	Weintraub, Alec; OAC Services
Kearns, Kevin; City of Vancouver	Whitton, Carrie
Keathley, Merci; Swinerton Builders	Whorton, Noel; Skanska USA Building Inc.
Kent, Bill; Mortenson Construction	Wiser, Doug; Parametrix
Kershishnik, Joe; Local Union 598	Witbeck, Stacy
Killian, David	Worsech, Derek; United Assoc. Plumbers & Steamfitters Local 598
Kincaid-Slate, Claire; Rice Fergus Miller	Yildiz, Sibel; King Co. Wastewater Treatment Division
Klein, Mica; Perkins Coie	York, Kyle; Skanska USA Building Inc.
Koob, Dave	Yount, Kate; Chelan County PUD
Kuhn, Tony	Zutis, Jennifer; King County
Kunselmoun, Rebecca; Parametrix	Unidentified
Kurtz, Jill; NAC Architecture	(guest)
Lane, Matt; McGranahan	1225*942
Lazar, Lisa; DLR Group	1509*592
Lege, Alex; PCS Strategic Solutions	1937---368
Liskova, Petra	Adam/1206****910 (Guest)
Loghry, Karee; NAC Architecture	Ataylor
Lohr, Marcie; Coughlin Porter Lundeen	Clark Construction
Loynd, Erica; DLR Group	Cory
Lubbers, Matt; BNBuilders	Cotto
MacBean, Erin; Sequoyah Electric	Danica
MacDonald, Ryan; Bouten Construction	Derek
Madden, Jeffery; Baylay Construction	JQP
Main, Teresa; Lake Stevens School District	PL
Maki, Lacey; AECOM Hunt	Samsung SM-591611 (Dave Johnson?)
Maloney, Tim; Kraemer North America LLC	Slattenb
Markham, Lori	Smatkin
Marsh, Eric; Skanska USA Building Inc.	Tevers

Statistics:

Year to Date Total project Approvals:

DB: \$7,786,219,904
 GC/CM: \$1,675,441,750
 Total: **\$9,461,661,654**

Traditional DB: **0**
 Approved Proj: **15**

Progressive DB: **27**
 Heavy Civil: **3** w/ASSP: **5**

Current number of Certified Agencies: 14

Year to Date Alternative Subcontractor Selection Applications approved: 23

Types of ASSP Requested:

• EC/CM	8	Cost: \$125.7M	
• MC/CM	8	Cost: \$177.5M	
• Treatment Process Equipment	1	Cost: \$8M	
• UV Disinfection System	1	Cost: \$15M	
• Civil Subcontractor	1	Cost: \$40M	
• Underground Storage Tank	1	Cost: \$15M	
• Vehicle Lift	1	Cost: \$4M	
• Civil Utilities	1	Cost: \$20M	
• Plumbing	1	Cost: \$3.1M	Total: \$408.3M