

CAPITOL CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Remote Access Meeting
Olympia, Washington 98504
May 18, 2023
10:00 a.m.

Final Minutes

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Dan Miles, (Chair) Architect 2
Marc Daily (Vice Chair), Urban Planner
Senator Phil Fortunato
Kristin Glandon, Landscape Architect
Patrick McDonald (for Secretary of State)
Representative Joel McEntire
Alex Rolluda, Architect 1

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Senator Sam Hunt

OTHERS PRESENT:

Cynthia Barrett, Department of Enterprise Services
Ariel Birtley, Department of Enterprise Services
Randy Bolerjack, Office of Secretary of State
David Bremer, Office of Senate Democrats (SDC)
Emilie Brown, Department of Enterprise Services
Erica Ceder, DLR Group
Nick Clesi, Miller Hull
Tamlyn Crain, House of Representatives Staff
Amanda Doyle, Secretary of State Office
Dawn Eychaner, House of Representatives Staff
Bill Frare, Department of Enterprise Services
Valerie Gow, Puget Sound Meeting Services
Greg Griffith, Olympia Historic Society
Craig Gunn, Department of Enterprise Services
Ashley Howard, Department of Enterprise Services
Sidney Hunt, Department of Enterprise Services

Kelci Karl-Robinson, Legislative Staff
Ann Larson, Department of Enterprise Services
Nouk Leap, Department of Enterprise Services
John Lyons, Department of Enterprise Services.
Jeff MacDonald, Department of Enterprise Services
Jennifer Masterson, Office of Financial Management
Craig McKissick, Office of Legislative Support Services
Allen Miller, Law Offices of Allen T. Miller
Kyle Overmiller, Legislative Staff
Maurice Perigo, Department of Enterprise Services
Bill Robinson, Nature Conservancy
Colleen Rust, Senate Staff
Ginny Streeter, Department of Enterprise Services
James Troyer, Senate Staff
Judy Warnick, Senator
Robert (P179)

Welcome and Introductions, Announcements & Approval of Agenda

Chair Dan Miles called the Capitol Campus Design Advisory Committee (CCDAC) virtual meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. A meeting quorum was attained.

Members and staff provided self-introduction.

Approval of CCDAC February 23, 2022 Minutes – Action

Marc Daily moved, seconded by Dan Miles, to approve the CCDAC February 16, 2023 meeting minutes as published. A voice vote unanimously approved the motion.

Public Comment – Information

Chair Miles reviewed the format for offering public comment during a hybrid meeting. Public comment is limited to two minutes. DES received no written public comments. No public comments were offered.

2023-25 Capital Budget Funded Projects – Information

John Lyons, Assistant Program Manager, updated the committee on the status of 2023-25 Capital Budget funded projects, major projects, and funding by asset.

This year, DES received the highest budget appropriation in its history of over \$160 million. Funded projects are included within different programs. One major program, the Legislative Campus Modernization (LCM), includes funded projects for the Pritchard, Newhouse, and O'Brien Buildings and site improvements. The Elevator Modernization Program includes five funded projects. In total, DES received funding for 42 projects.

Major projects funded include:

- New Buildings & Grounds Maintenance facility near the Executive Residence
- Investments in the Washington Street Building
- Design funds for the demolition of the General Administration Building – engineering, utility, and site work necessary for demolition. Future funding required for demolition.
- Temple of Justice HVAC, lighting, and water system improvements
- Modular Building in Tumwater – critical repairs and upgrades
- Legislative Building – restore chamber skylights
- Old Capital Building – roof replacement
- Natural Resources Building – replace pipe for wet fire suppression

Distribution of funds by asset includes:

- Pritchard Building
- Newhouse Building
- Campus – numerous system upgrades to many buildings and infrastructure projects distributed across the campus
- Legislative Building – roll-up of several different projects within the Legislative Building
- TOJ – several elevator projects and completion of HVAC work

Assistant Manager Lyons said the list is not comprehensive with some buildings receiving fewer funds. He invited questions.

Senator Fortunato inquired as to the status of renaming the Newhouse Building. Ann Larson, Assistant Director of Policy and Government Relations, advised that renaming of the building is not under consideration during the meeting. Senator Fortunato said he wants to ensure consideration of a placeholder until the Caucus can provide feedback prior to any decision-making on a new name.

Senator Fortunato inquired as to the timing of discussion on Capitol Lake. The Senate discussed the Capitol Lake management alternative because no one supported the proposed alternative. The project was not included in the capital budget because of no support for the estuary option. It appears the project was included in the operating budget for DES and there are concerns about moving the project forward. He would like to schedule a discussion at a future meeting and requested information on the timeline for any action on the project.

Assistant Director Frare explained that DES completed the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process and selected a preferred alternative. The direction is to move forward with restoring the estuary, removing the dam, installing a new 5th Avenue Bridge, dredging Capitol Lake, and beginning some habitat and shoreline restoration projects. DES is preparing Request for Proposals (RFPs) to begin the permitting process, design, and to seek grant opportunities to help fund the project. Operating funds appropriated for Capitol Lake will be used for those initial efforts. Staff can schedule a discussion about the project and alternatively he and Assistant Director Larson could meet with him individually to discuss expectations.

Senator Fortunato advised that other members of the Senate do not support the idea of converting Capitol Lake to a swamp, which is why the project was not funded in the capital budget. He is unsure as to how funds were included in the Department's operating budget. During a previous discussion, he spoke to an option of expending some funds to prevent sediment from entering the lake further upstream. The issue surrounds sediment buildup in the lake because of the soil structure upstream. It could entail a project enhancing upstream shorelines to prevent sediment traveling to the lake to enable the preservation of a reflective lake rather than a swamp. He asked whether any work would commence on the project by January 2024. Assistant Director Frare affirmed the work would only include planning and design for grant applications and initiating the permitting process.

Dr. McDonald advised that the name of the Newhouse Building cannot be changed by the committee, as any name change must be approved by the Legislature for buildings on campus following input from the SCC and advice from the Capitol Campus Design Advisory Committee (CCDAC).

Senator Fortunato responded that when the committee does render a name recommendation, he wants to ensure the recommendation reflects what the Senate has agreed should be the name before the recommendation is finalized or at the very least the name should be of primary consideration.

Chair Miles asked whether the name for the Newhouse replacement building is scheduled as a future agenda item. Assistant Director Larson advised that the workgroup to review outdated statutes for the SCC and CCDAC is reconvening to begin work on addressing outdated statutes. One of the statutes pertains to building names. Staff will provide an update on the progress of the workgroup at a future meeting.

Legislative Campus Modernization (LCM) Project Update – Informational

Assistant Director Frare introduced Ariel Birtley, Project Manager and member of the LCM team responsible for the Newhouse project, to provide the update.

Project Manager Birtley reported the Newhouse Building project design team is Miller Hull Architects. Hoffman Construction serves as the GC/CM with support by DES and stakeholders. Design was recently completed for demolition with construction activities scheduled within the next week. The Pritchard Rehabilitation/Expansion project design team is the DLR Group. The GC/CM, BNBuilders, is supported by DES and stakeholders. Schematic design for the Pritchard Building was completed in early spring. Cost, schedule, and value engineering exercises were recently completed. The Legislature approved an updated budget as well as acceleration of the project schedule. Design development was initiated in early May. The O'Brien Building has completed schematic design and is moving forward to design development. The design team reconfigured the third floor to include 13 offices and 16 offices on the fourth floor.

Senator Fortunato said he understands simulated sandstone would be used for the Pritchard Building project as opposed to the original sandstone from Wilkerson Quarry, which is located within his legislative district. Project Manager Birtley deferred a response until the presentation on the Pritchard façade.

Project Manager Birtley said the scope of the Newhouse Building replacement project remains the same at 59,000 square feet. The estimated substantial completion date is early November 2024. Demolition of all buildings on the site has been completed with all remaining materials removed by the end of the week followed by the commencement of earthwork. The budget for the project was updated by the Legislature during the 2023 legislative session to \$89.7 million.

The schedule for completion of the Newhouse Building remains unchanged. Occupants are scheduled to move to the new building in late October or early November of 2024.

The north elevation is the primary entrance to the building. The design of the Newhouse Building weaves into the historic west campus. The building's design includes a base, a middle story, and an attic story. The entire building is designed on symmetry to align with other historic buildings on west campus. The Newhouse Building will be built with precast concrete. The mix was carefully selected from local quarries to match existing sandstone on historic buildings on the campus.

Project Manager Birtley displayed an image of the south side of the building in addition to landscape designs. Bronze detailing is included at both entrances and along the upper story intended to mimic detailing existing on west campus buildings, such as the Legislative Building, Cherberg, and O'Brien Buildings. The architects included the rhododendron within the bronze detail as a geometric interpretation of the rhododendron, Washington State's flower.

Another slide outlined the detail reflecting how the architects composed the building to align with other historic buildings on the campus. The architects reviewed the geometry of west campus buildings and incorporated those similarities within the design of the Newhouse Building. Another slide reflected the careful design of the building to align with the historic campus.

The Historic Mitigation Plan played a significant role in the design of the Newhouse Building. The project team worked with staff from the Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) to recover lumber from the Ayer and Carlyon press houses. The lumber will be part of a feature wall in the new building. The team also salvaged a majority of the sandstone from the building. Wilkeson Quarry no longer operates. The sandstone is difficult to locate. Because the project is within the historic campus, many buildings will likely require repairs in the future. The project team saved as much of the sandstone as possible for future reuse.

Project Manager Birtley displayed a slide depicting all areas of the building that were salvaged to include sandstone, bronze hardware, the majority of the mahogany doors, old glass, and other detailed items. The advantages of salvaging materials reduce carbon output by approximately four passenger vehicle emissions over one year.

Project Manager Birtley shared a slide of the mixing chamber, a feature wall of lumber from the press houses. The wall will be constructed as a mural featuring Washington State western and eastern landscapes. Above the piece, the roof will include a skylight reflecting down to the mural.

The project team is working with a local artist to develop artwork. The artwork will feature endangered plants and animals in the state comprised of metal cutouts placed at the north and south entrances of the building. The project teams are focused to ensure the new building is sustainable, provides occupant wellness, and achieves LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Gold certification. The requirement is LEED Silver. The building will include operable windows, features lumber throughout the building to increase occupant well-being and to assist in increasing LEED points for the building.

Alex Rolluda supported transitioning lumber from the press houses to the new building. He asked whether the wall feature would include a story block or other placard of significance depicting the significance of the wood and where it was originally installed. Project Manager Birtley thanked Mr. Rolluda for the suggestion, which will be shared with the project team.

Dr. McDonald reported the Secretary of State's archives team toured all four buildings to include the Visitor's Center after the buildings were vacated. The team took over a thousand photographs of the interior buildings for historical purposes. The photographs are available and will be posted on the Department's archives internet site for access. He asked that project architects and other contractors who have taken photographs of the buildings provide copies of the pictures to the Office of the Secretary of State for perpetual storage in the state archives.

Project Manager Birtley shared an illustration of new landscapes for the Newhouse and Pritchard Buildings. The landscape architects have worked to maintain a landscape (fir) collar extending from the west of the campus to the eastside of the campus. Both projects are retaining 54 trees and adding 227 trees. Although the landscape will be different when fully grown, the landscape architects have strived to ensure adequate understory for retained trees as well as enhancing the landscape to align with the Olmsted landscape design. The project team worked with a landscape peer review panel. Members of the panel provided valuable input and direction to ensure the new landscape maintains the integrity of the Olmsted legacy.

The Pritchard Building contains approximately 77,000 square feet and includes an expansion of the existing building. The O'Brien renovation totals 17,000 square feet of existing space within the building. Both schematic designs have been completed and the Legislature approved both project budgets of \$134 million for the Pritchard Building and \$11.1 million for the O'Brien Building. Part of the analysis for value engineering for the Pritchard Building included an accelerated schedule. BNBuilders reviewed the schedule for the Pritchard project, determined the original construction schedule of 20 months was insufficient, and requested expansion of the timeline to 28 months. Consequently, the project will begin in April 2024 and extend to mid to late 2026. Another important element of the schedule includes decoupling hillside stabilization and demolition projects from the Pritchard expansion project to enable the project to progress quicker.

Senator Fortunato questioned the timing for completion for the Newhouse Building with respect to the displacement of occupants currently housed in the other buildings. Project Manager Birtley said a swing space team is working to identify swing space in the Helen Sommers Building and other buildings on campus to accommodate displaced occupants as the modular building would not be available.

Assistant Director Frare explained that substantial completion of Newhouse is scheduled in November 2024. All punch list, final commissioning, and occupation will be prior to the 2025 legislative session. The Pritchard expansion project will begin in spring 2024 for completion by summer 2026. Because the modular building is not available for the first half of 2024, the team is researching other swing space for occupants from the Pritchard Building.

Senator Fortunato affirmed the Pritchard schedule but asked about the occupants in the O'Brien Building during the renovation project. Assistant Director Frare replied that the O'Brien renovation project is scheduled to begin in spring 2026 because of the shorter legislative session and it affords an opportunity for the contractor to access the building to complete the renovation in time for occupants to return in time for the 2027 legislative session. Senator Fortunato asked about the possibility of moving the project back by one year. Assistant Director Frare advised of the possibility while cautioning of any guarantee because of construction schedules and capacity. It is not possible to guarantee the completion of the O'Brien project by the 2026 legislative session.

Senator Fortunato asked about the status of the modular building when all projects have been completed as he would like to see the building relocated to another location as a school. Assistant Director Frare described

the surplus process for the building. State agencies have the first right of refusal followed by other public agencies.

Senator Fortunato reiterated his preference for moving the O'Brien project up by one year. Assistant Director Frare shared his concerns with respect to the schedule. His preference is to complete the renovation project during the interim; however, it may not be possible following a long legislative session. Completion will likely follow a short session for a difference of one month. The team has examined the schedule carefully.

Chair Miles said the information reflects two O'Brien construction schedules. He asked about the possibility of moving the schedule if construction is split into two phases because of the short duration available to access the building for construction. Assistant Director Frare explained that one schedule reflects the original schedule with the second the most current schedule based on changes to the Pritchard Building construction schedule. The O'Brien construction schedule was moved to begin in 2026 to complete the projects sooner. As the team explored the schedule for the O'Brien project, a number of options were evaluated such as working on the O'Brien project concurrently with the Pritchard project to complete the O'Brien project between the 2024 and 2025 sessions. Following those evaluations, the team believed there would be too much work underway concurrently and construction impacts to the campus as well as identifying available swing space would be more than the team could effectively handle. The team explored moving the project to 2025; however, because of construction scheduling and the amount of the work necessary to complete the renovation, the team was concerned the renovation could not be completed during a short session, which is why the construction schedule was moved to 2026.

Senator Fortunato remarked that because occupants will need to move twice from their office regardless of the timing of sessions, he suggested moving the O'Brien project up by one year.

Kelci Karl-Robinson, Capital Budget Coordinator, explained that the third and fourth floor renovation of the O'Brien Building reduces the number of member offices, which is why the schedule is contingent on the timing to afford a place for members to move from O'Brien to the Pritchard Building. Although the Pritchard Building renovation is scheduled to begin in April 2024, many Pritchard occupants will move to the modular building in January 2025 to be near the Legislative Building. The schedule was moved to begin in April because many employees are teleworking and could continue teleworking during 2024 and then move to the modular building at the beginning of the 2025 legislative session.

Project Manager Birtley displayed an aerial photo of Capitol Campus reflecting the Cherberg, Legislative, Pritchard, and Newhouse Buildings. The Pritchard Building, designed by architect by Paul Thiry, was the first building constructed on campus during the new Formalism era, which began in the 1950s through the late 1960s. Buildings during that era included some classical elements with some refinement to simplify the building facade with similar materials and symmetry of geometric design.

Architects with the DLR Group are initiating a façade review by studying other campus building facades to determine how to integrate the Pritchard Building of new formalism architecture into the historic campus.

Several additional illustrations reflected exterior illustrations of the Pritchard Building with an original design reflective of a dense façade on the eastern side of the building with the building façade lighter as it moves to the west. The book stacks have been converted from a dense form of Wilkeson sandstone to glass with terra cotta fins to layer the exterior facade. The façade is dissected into thirds with the lower base and the upper two floors acting as the middle of the column. The façade to the left is proposed to consist of glass fiber reinforced concrete (GFRC), a concrete composite that lends to forming better than concrete. The product

can provide different colors to match existing building sandstone. The product also provides greater cost-savings.

Senator Fortunato remarked that he was able to view a sample of the product outside the rear of the Cherberg Building. The product is similar to the look of sandstone. He asked about the durability of the product over time as the building is regularly cleaned. Project Manager Birtley explained that the product is very durable. One example of its use is on the Convention Center building in Seattle since 1966. The product continues to remain in good condition. Campus buildings are cleaned based on a schedule. A sealer can be applied to the product extending the life of the product by 10 to 15 years.

Senator Fortunato commented that he is not a fan of the Pritchard Building and does not believe the building is architecturally significant. He suggested continuing the façade of the new expansion through the existing Pritchard structure and replacing some of the front windows. The proposed change does not significantly change the building to fit within the historic campus. The new second floor expansion could be carried to the first floor, as it would blend the building better as the proposed design reflects three different buildings.

Chair Miles thanked Senator Fortunato for his remarks. As most people are aware, the expansion is a complex design problem to solve. The building is a nationally recognized historic building. Secretary of Interior Standards require the design team to navigate carefully as part of the design solution. The design team is respecting many characteristics of the original structure while essentially doubling the size of the building, which has been a difficult task. In some ways, it has been difficult to add such a large addition on an historic structure as well as changing the function of the building, which has added another level of complexity in terms of the large solid mass of the library stacks to become occupied space rather than storage space. The team has experienced vastly different design challenges they are attempting to navigate. It is important everyone understands the complexity and difficulty of the design problem the architects have been tasked with solving.

Senator Fortunato noted that the issue is similar to Rainier School, which was considered architecturally significant and listed on the historic register. The building was not attractive and was not architecturally significant. The building was eventually placed on the historic register and instead of replacing the roof at a cost of \$120,000, the roof cost \$800,000 because of design requirements for an historic building. His impression of the Pritchard Building is of a large glass box although others might believe the building is architecturally significant. He asked about the option of carrying the facade of the second floor addition through the entire building facade as the current design reflects three different types of materials.

Chair Miles recommended inviting the design team to attend a future meeting to describe the logic behind the design approach.

Staff invited Erica Ceder with the DLR Group to speak to the design as the team welcomes all comments and discussions.

Senator Fortunato asked whether others believe the design reflects three different buildings combined as one building.

Assistant Director Frare addressed the concerns by explaining that the Paul Thiry mid-century modern design was intended to reflect a replica of the Legislative Building with the stacks representing the dome and the wings of the reading room representing office space occupied by the Legislature. Those design features are part of the historical significance of that portion of the building. The intent of the extension to the east is to maintain the identity of the original reflection of modern architecture on Capitol Campus while projecting

the addition to the sides. It is supposed to resemble two different buildings. One is the replica of the existing and original Thiry design and the addition is a more contemporary building extending to the east to reflect a differentiation between the two sections.

Erica Ceder, Principal, DLR Group, shared that the design team engaged in discussions with staff from DAHP. Originally, DAHP was seeking to ensure the original Pritchard Building would be expressed as a separate entity from the addition. The proposal reflects an attempt to bridge the gap between the modernist new formalist original Pritchard Building with the neoclassical architecture more common on the west campus. It is why the more prominent vertical elements create a nod to the neoclassical facades of the Cherberg and Legislative Buildings, as well as a differentiation between the ground floor and the upper floors. The Pritchard Building design maintains the open glass of the reading room and the original Paul Thiry design that was intended to reflect a “living room” of the campus where it was possible to enjoy the views of the natural landscape on the hillside, as well as recreating the book stacks that continue the opaque appearance DAHP desired while also incorporating transparency to add offices in the area with views from windows for the occupants. The team is considering Senator Fortunato’s comments that the proposal appears to reflect three different buildings. As the team continues to work through the next steps of the design process, the team will definitely consider the comments although the directive has been difficult. It is important to acknowledge that the team can explore opportunities to arrive at a common solution to make the building more cohesive.

Senator Fortunato questioned whether the design preserves the openness of the first floor as a living room feature or is replaced with House offices. If the answer is office space, retaining the windows enables pedestrians to peer into offices during the workday. Ms. Ceder responded that the ground floor in the areas of the windows will continue to house the café and a public seating area. The remaining area was originally programmed as a hearing room but was recently shifted to a multipurpose large meeting room. The spaces are intended to be open and accessible to the public to preserve the experience of the original library reading room.

Senator Fortunato agreed that the scenario supports retaining more windows on the ground floor. He questioned the committee’s view of retaining the second floor as proposed. Ms. Ceder said the design team has received positive feedback from House members as the primary occupants of the space, as well as positive feedback from DAHP in terms of how the solution works from a historic preservation perspective. The design team continues to receive feedback from both external and internal stakeholders to refine the design.

Senator Fortunato offered that it might be interesting for the architectural concept to extend the similar theme across the top floor to offer a possible design alternative to share with others to receive feedback.

Mr. Rolluda addressed Senator Fortunato’s comments regarding the façade design. Per Secretary of Interior Standards, any additions to historic buildings shall not mimic but shall be reflective of the time of construction. The Pritchard Building is listed on the historic register, is reflective of the mid-century modern architecture of the time, and shall be preserved, and any addition to the building can reflect it in terms of modulation and rhythm. However, the existing building should remain.

Senator Fortunato offered that the solution to the issue is removing the building from the historic register, renovating the building, and resubmitting the building for placement on the historic register.

Project Manager Birtley reviewed the plan for preserving artwork located in the Pritchard Building. The building houses four different art pieces. The Callahan Mural will need to be removed and stored during construction. Work is underway to carefully remove the mural and store the piece in a temperature-controlled

storage area. The second piece is the Fitzgerald Mosaic, which will remain in place if deemed safe during construction. The Tobey Oil on Canvas piece will be moved to a new location.

Project Manager Birtley displayed the public spaces on the ground floor of the Pritchard Building containing the café, a public meeting room, and public seating area. The café area is publicly accessible.

Dr. McDonald advised that he is a member of the committee that is reviewing SCC and CCDAC statutes. Secretary Dobbs intends to submit a formal request to remove “Library” from the title of the Pritchard Building. He also had the opportunity to author an early history on Paul Thiry, a graduate of St. Martin’s College in 1917. He also served as the lead designer for the World’s Fair in Seattle. Dr. McDonald conveyed appreciation for the comments on the process.

Mr. Rolluda asked whether the value engineering exercise performed on the Pritchard Building resulted in any significant changes. Ms. Ceder advised that following the schematic design process, the team did not adopt any value engineering items other than accelerating the schedule. Some targets for project savings are being pursued of approximately \$3 million. However, the team has not identified the source of savings at this time, which will be pursued during the design development phase.

Senator Fortunato remarked that typically with a major building renovation, the building is often renamed. He assumes that there is agreement the Pritchard Building name will remain. Chair Miles responded that he is not aware of any proposal to change the name of the building.

Assistant Director Frare referred to conversations reflective of ensuring the names of buildings are reflective of the entire state and representative of all people across the state. The direction likely will be pursuing naming at a global level rather than by a building-by-building process. One recommendation during the legislative session was renaming the Natural Resources Building. The request has been placed on hold to pursue options for a global process. At this time, DES is not pursuing any name change for the Pritchard Building.

Chair Miles asked staff to speak to the GFRC product in terms of maintenance and durability of the product and color matching opportunities.

Ms. Ceder responded that from an appearance perspective, the material is indistinguishable from precast concrete with the main difference of embedded glass fibers to strengthen the material affording the ability to produce larger and thinner panels. Because of glass fibers, the product reduces the need for internal rebar typically found in precast concrete affording a much lighter weight material requiring less structure to support the product. In terms of longevity, the design team considered the Seattle Convention Center, which is a GFRC clad building in place since the late 1960s or early 1970s. The building is performing well in terms of longevity. GFRC is a good quality concrete product.

Chair Miles asked whether the artwork and salvaged wood in the mixing chamber would be appended on multiple surfaces or as reflected in the illustrations shared earlier in the meeting. Project Manager Birtley explained that the opposite wall will feature salvaged marble from throughout the campus. The team is completing an inventory early next week. The wall is where the elevator lobbies are located. The team is also exploring adding some salvaged wood outside of the Senate office areas (waiting area); however, budget considerations may determine whether it is possible.

Chair Miles mentioned the number of trees to be retained and the number of tree planted. He asked about the number of trees that are designated for removal. Project Manager Birtley advised that approximately 50 trees are slated for removal on both sites.

Assistant Director cited a question from the Q&A on the availability of schematics reflecting the neighborhood view from the South Capitol Neighborhood to the new buildings. Ms. Ceder affirmed some views have been developed. Some of the exterior schematics have been shared with external stakeholder committees. An external stakeholder meeting is scheduled on Thursday, May 25, 2023 as a workshop session with exterior information available at that time.

Chair Miles acknowledged and thanked teams members for the presentation and helping the committee understand some of the issues the team is solving as the design moves forward.

Senator Fortunato requested a copy of the slide presentation on the LCM project. Staff offered to forward the information to the Senator.

Future Announcements and Adjournment of Meeting – Action

For information on future meetings, visit the SCC and CCDAC website for meeting dates, minutes, and meeting agendas. At this time, all meetings are scheduled as remote/hybrid meetings. Instructions for accessing the meetings are provided on the meeting agendas posted on the DES website for each meeting.

With there being no further business, Chair Miles adjourned the meeting at 11:33 a.m.

Prepared by Valerie L. Gow, Recording Secretary/President
Puget Sound Meeting Services, psmsoly@earthlink.net