

CAPITAL PROJECTS ADVISORY REVIEW BOARD

REAUTHORIZATION COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Monday, June 17, 2019 1:00 – 4:00 pm

Meeting Location: Columbia Center
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 (come to Seattle City Attorney's Reception)
Seattle, WA 98104

PHONE: 206-386-1200, Meeting ID 67556451#

Chair: Rebecca Keith (WA Cities)

Members: Loren Armstrong (WA Ports), Becky Blankenship (Architects), Neil Hartman (WA Building Trades), Janet Jansen (DES), Santosh Kuruvilla (Engineers), Scott Middleton (Specialty Contractors), Eric Nordstrom (Counties), Mike Pellitteri (General Contractors), Linneth Riley-Hall (Transit), Robynne Thaxton (Private Industry), Lisa Van der Lugt (OMWBE), Olivia Yang (Higher Ed)

Committee Task: Achieve reauthorization of RCW 39.10

Pre-Reads:

- REVIEW RCW 39.10 with special focus on the provisions of RCW 39.10.200 - .230 and CPARB membership, roles, and duties

Meeting Agenda Goal: Using the three categories identified in the meeting on 6/1/19 and questions below, consider CPARB's role, membership, powers and duties and determine what, if any, changes should be made as part of reauthorization legislation.

1:00 – 1:10	Welcome and Introductions
--------------------	---------------------------

1:10 – 1:15	Review and approval of June 3, 2019 meeting minutes
--------------------	---

1:15 – 2:15	Discussion of CPARB's roles and issues to address [see questions at end of agenda]
--------------------	--

2:00 – 2:15	Break
--------------------	-------

Committee meetings are open to the public.

CAPITAL PROJECTS ADVISORY REVIEW BOARD

2:15 – 3:00	Refine issues list 1) are there provisions in current statute all stakeholders agree should be changed? 2) discuss remaining issues and work toward consensus on what should be addressed in statute
3:00 – 3:30	Discussion of Questionnaires, data, reporting
3:30-4:00	<u>Administrative Matters:</u> Vice/Co Chair Confirm upcoming meeting schedules
4:00	Adjourn

Questions to Guide Discussion and Generation of Issues:

1. From the perspective of each stakeholder group, under the current statute, with respect to CPARB's role, membership, powers and duties: what is working? What is not working? What could be improved upon? Are there things missing that are not in the current statute?
2. What role should CPARB have with respect to alternative public works? Do different stakeholders have different views?
3. What is the 'low hanging' fruit in statute – i.e. no longer relevant or what clearly needs to be changed?

Committee meetings are open to the public.